

15 October 1979 ADDRESSEES EYES ONLY

STATINTL

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

STATINTL

FROM:

Executive Officer, ODP

SUBJECT: Board of Directors Meeting, 11 October 1979

PRESENT:

STATINTL

opened the discussion of "The l. Value of (SAFE/ADPE) Compatibility with Existing ODP Hardware/Software" by reviewing the events that led up to the meeting. He said that CSPO will be writing an RFP for SAFE hardware in FY-80. One of the constraints on the RFP is that CIA and DIA SAFE architecture must be the same. The question of whether SAFE ADPE had to be compatible with existing ODP hardware and software was raised and led to $_{\mathtt{This}}$ STATINTL CSPO/ODP. the attached study by study is an attempt to quantify the benefits of SAFE compatibility with ODP hardware and software. that if the Board of Directors agreed that the method used in the study was valid, then CSPO will do a similar study STATINTL for DIA compatibility.

STATINTL

STATINTL

asked how much time we had before the RFP was due to hit the street.

the SAFE contractor, wants the RFP out by March 1980 but that he believes that June 1980 is more likely.

ewil .

$\ \, \text{Approved For Release 2001/05/23}: CIA\text{-}RDP84\text{-}00933R000200090007\text{-}6$

said that he views SAFE in the long term as another set of capabilities ODP delivers to the Agency. As such, SAFE compatibility with existing ODP hardware and software is an overriding consideration and therefore attempts to quantify the value of compatibility are meaningless. Following this line of thought, asked what ODP's reaction would be if a particular vendor offering non-compatible equipment were to discount his price by the dollar value we had placed on compatibility. Would such a discount be sufficient to compensate for the lack of compatibility?

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINT

STATINTL

- at a higher level than machine code execution, e.g., data standards, etc. He argued for putting a dollar value on compatibility so that the RFP could be openly competed in order to give NFAC the most cost effective SAFE system available.
- SAFE Center using non-IBM architecture would be on ODP. Where will CIA house the support people, staff and contractor, needed to maintain the Center? Everyone agreed that if SAFE used a non-IBM architecture, the number of support personnel would be greater than if SAFE used IBM-compatible architecture. The space for housing support personnel has not been raised as a formal requirement to Office of Logistics.

Approved For Release 2001/05/23: CIA-RDP84-00933R000200090007_6

6. After much debate, the following was agreed:

a. SAFE continues to be ODP's responsibility after it becomes operational. It was never envisioned by ODP that SAFE would be a turn-key system turned over to NFAC for operation.

over to NFAC for operation. However, The details of thes
responsibility remain to be specified to the SAFE Transitional Plan.

b. There exists a basic disagreement among Board

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

members, chiefly between

as to whether compatibility (at least of the large mainframes) is an overriding factor in SAFE procurement, or a factor which could be quantified in dollar terms for evaluating RFP responses. This issue best can only be resolved by a policy decision from the Director of Data Processing.

- c. ODP Processing and CSPO agreed to a consolidated point for the SAFE and Ruffing Centers.
- 7. At second sentence on page 6 of the study done by
 - 8. The meeting adjourned at 1500 hours.

STATINTL

cc: DD/P DD/A C/SPS C/MS D/ODP Approved For Release 2001/05/23 : CIA-RDP84-00933R00029999007-6

STATINTL

15 October 1979

NEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

STATINTL

PROM:

Executive Officer, ODP

SUBJECT: Board of Directors Neeting, 11 October 1979

PRESENT:

STATINTL

STATINTL

1. Opened the discussion of "The

Ware/Software" by reviewing the events that led up to the meeting. He said that espo will be writing an RFP for SAFE hardware in FY-80. One of the constraints on the RFP is that CIA and DIA SAFE architecture must be the same. The question of whether SAFE ADPE had to be compatible with existing ODP hardware and software was raised, and led to

STATINTL

existing ODP hardware and software was raised, and led to STATINTL the attached study by CSPO/ODP. This study is an attempt to quantify the benefits of SAFE compatibility with ODP hardware and software. Said that if the Board of Directors agreed that the method used in the study was valid, then CSPO will do a similar study for DIA compatibility.

STATINTL

asked how much time we had before the RFP was due to hit the street.

the SAFE contractor, wants the RFP out by March 1980 but that he believes that June 1980 is more likely.

STATINT Approved For Relea 2001/05/23 : CIA-RDP84-00933R000200090007-6

STATINTL

STATINTL

at a higher level than machine code execution, e.g., data standards, etc. He argued for putting a dollar value on compatibility so that the RFP could be openly competed in CTACOLOGY OF A order to give NPAG the most cost effective SAFE system available.

STATINTL

SAFE Center using non-IBM architecture would be on ODP. Where will CIA house the support people, staff and contractor, needed to maintain the Center? Everyone agreed that if SAFE used a non-IBM architecture, the number of support personnel would be greater than if SAFE used IBM-compatible architecture.

The space for housing support personnel has not been raised as a formal requirement to Office of Logistics but no many languages.

Language Levy Services of Logistics but no many languages.

→ Approved For Release 2001/05/23 : CIA-RDP84-00933R000 090007-6

- 5. After much debate, the following was agreed:
- a. SAFE continues to be ODP's responsibility after it becomes operational. It was never envisioned by that SAFE would be a turn-key system turned over to NFAC for operation.

STATINTL

STATINTL

b. There exists a basic disagreement among Board members, chiefly between

large mainframes) is an overriding factor in SAFE procurement or a factor which could be quantified)in

function of reguring a Processing.

c. ODP Processing and CSPO agreed to a consolidated point for the SAFE and Ruffing Centers.

STATINTL

STATINTL

7. At suggestion, the Board agreed to delete the second sentence on page 6 of the study done by

S. The meeting adjourned at 1500 hours.

STATINTL

cc: DD/P DD/A C/SPS C/NS D/ODP I st was noted that the DIA question is all was noted that the DIA question

is very similar, more persuasive and based on Hoxeywell hardware,

Approved For Release 2001/05/23: CIA-RDP84-00933R000200090007-6

		INSTANTACION DE LA CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL		SECRET	
		ND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS	
1			17 100	58	
2					
3					
4					
				: 	
5					
6	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PDEDADE	BERLY.	
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH		PREPARE REPLY RECOMMENDATION	
	COMMENT	FILE INFORMATION	RETURN	RETURN SIGNATURE	
ГЬ	corr	ections Geo			
1				- 57.	